	Case 2:23-cv-01799-TLN-EFB Docume	nt 9 Filed 01/16/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RAMIRO CARNALLA,	No. 2:23-cv-01799-EFB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
14	JOHN DOE, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner, proceeds without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. §	
18	1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
19	636(b)(1).	
20	On November 29, 2023, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
21	1915A. ECF No. 6. The court dismissed the complaint for failure to identify any defendant, and	
22	granted plaintiff thirty days in which to file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies or to	
23	inform the court of steps he had taken to identify a defendant. Id. The screening order warned	
24	plaintiff that failure to comply could result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.	
25	The time for acting has now passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise	
26	responded to the court's order. Thus, it appears that plaintiff is unable or unwilling to cure the	
27	defects in the complaint.	
28		
		1

Case 2:23-cv-01799-TLN-EFB Document 9 Filed 01/16/24 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a district judge to this action.

Further, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice for the reasons stated in the November 29, 2023 screening order.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: January 16, 2024